

# **Evaluating Tax Expenditures**

Presented by

Prof. Laura Kalambokidis

To Tax Expenditure Review Commission

July 14, 2022

## Overview

- The 2011 Tax Expenditure Review Report
- Defining the reference tax base
- Components of a quality review
- Developing a review schedule

# Tax Expenditure Review Report: Bringing Tax Expenditures into the Budget Process

### **Report:**

- Published in 2011 by MN Department of Revenue with assistance from economics/public policy professors Marsha Blumenthal, Laura Kalambokidis, Jay Kiedrowski, John Spry, Judy Temple, Jenny Bourne
- Required by Minnesota Laws 2010, ch. 389, art. 10, sec. 5

#### **Problem:**

- A broad tax base with low rates improves **allocative efficiency**, that is, it limit the tax system's interference in economic decisions. (p.15) (Report acknowledges that efficiency is not the only goal of tax policy.)
- "Tax expenditures receive less scrutiny than direct expenditures;" i.e., no review and reauthorization. (p.1,2)
- There may be an "institutional bias toward using tax expenditures (which yield lower revenue and thus a perception of smaller government) rather than direct expenditures (which generate costs and thus an apparently larger government)." (p.3)
- Need a process to "help ensure that tax expenditures constitute **sound public policy** and **meet the objectives** for which they were created." (p.3)

#### **Goals:**

- To give the governor, legislators, public officials, and the general public access to all available relevant information on tax expenditures and to provide these parties new information as needed.
- To require approval or non-renewal of tax expenditure provisions by the governor and the Legislature.

# Tax Expenditure Review Report: Bringing Tax Expenditures into the Budget Process

## The report:

- Discusses the **reference tax base** for each major tax
- Explains tax policy principles and other policy objectives against which to evaluate tax expenditures and the resources needed to do that work
- Identifies **criteria for prioritizing evaluations** (which tax expenditures to evaluate first)
- Describes a process for integrating tax expenditures into the budget process

## Allocative efficiency, tax policy, and reference tax base

"Allocating economic resources toward their most productive use is perhaps the chief advantage of free markets. [Allocative efficiency] is, in effect, a way of making the economic pie as large as possible...A mark of effective tax policy...is the preservation of incentives for individuals and businesses to make decisions on the basis of productivity rather than for pure tax benefit." (p.15)

"In the longer run, a tax system with **low rates and a broad base** is more likely to promote prosperity than one with high rates and a narrow base." <a href="https://www.taxpolicycenter.org/briefing-book/what-do-economists-agree-and-disagree-about-effects-taxes-economic-growth">https://www.taxpolicycenter.org/briefing-book/what-do-economists-agree-and-disagree-about-effects-taxes-economic-growth</a>

So, economists tend to define the reference tax base in the broadest way: What tax base would generate revenue while interfering the least in people's decisions?

A broad tax base interferes less in decision-making, because:

- It can collect the same amount of revenue with lower tax rates on income, sales, profits, etc., and so is less of a factor in choices about how much to work, what to buy, what to sell.
- There is no way for a taxpayer to avoid the tax by making a different choice.

A tax expenditure narrows the tax base and influences decision-making. Of course, sometimes the very purpose of the tax expenditure is to influence choices (e.g., a tax credit for electric cars), so there is tension between allocative efficiency and other goals.

## Components of a quality review (p. 17-19)

Identify the **purpose** of the tax provision.

Measure the tax provision's **impact** relative to the stated purpose.

- Number and characteristics\* of those who pay less tax as a result
- Change in behavior as a result of the tax expenditure
- Unintended results

Measure the net **indirect impact** of the provision within balanced budget context. (Indirect impacts are changes in economic, social, or environmental conditions.)

Calculate **revenue-neutral rate reduction** that would be possible with repeal of the provision

Consider **alternatives** to the tax provision that might have similar impact

<sup>\*</sup>What characteristics? Income, location, age, race, ethnicity, gender, industry, profession, etc.

## Components of a quality review (p. 17-19)

Measuring direct and indirect impacts may require considerable effort, cost, and time.

Behavioral science and economic research on the impact of tax provisions on household and business choices.

- Multivariate regression analysis, comparisons across time or jurisdictions
- Microsimulations using tax return data, supplemented with imputations of other relevant data, such as on consumer purchases or saving.
- Field experiments, random control trials

Research on the impact of behavioral changes on economic, social, or environmental conditions in a balanced budget context (i.e., must consider the impact of offsetting tax increases or spending reductions)

- Multivariate regression analysis, comparisons across time or jurisdictions
- Input-output modelling

Examining the results of peer-reviewed research on similar provisions in other jurisdictions can provide a lot of information.

## Developing a review schedule (p. 20-27)

A tax expenditure should receive a **higher priority** if the provision:

- Is an exception to the Tax Expenditure Budget reference tax base
- Could be easily replaced with a direct expenditure
- Is costly, or its cost is growing quickly
- Has drawn legislative interest for modification or repeal
- Is difficult to administer.
- Repeal would not pose significant problems (e.g., federal conformity provisions with timing and information issues are low priority)

Evaluation schedule is not a "hit list." (p.24) Evaluation may lead to **improved public policy** through modifying or repealing tax expenditures OR by transparently affirming that the provision is doing what it is supposed to do.