

# Revised

Consolidated Fiscal Note

2025-2026 Legislative Session

**HF3230 - 2A - Various Capitol Complex Security Provisions Mod.**

Chief Author: **Julie Greene**  
 Committee: **Transportation Finance and Policy**  
 Date Completed: **4/23/2026 8:15:41 AM**  
 Lead Agency: **Public Safety Dept**  
 Other Agencies: **Legislature**                      **Secretary of State**

State Fiscal Impact	Yes	No
Expenditures	X	
Fee/Departmental Earnings		X
Tax Revenue		X
Information Technology		X
Local Fiscal Impact	X	

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative. Reductions shown in the parentheses.

State Cost (Savings)	Biennium			Biennium		
	Dollars in Thousands	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
<b>Legislature</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>General Fund</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Public Safety Dept</b>						
<b>General Fund</b>	-	-	8,791	8,379	7,799	
<b>State Total</b>						
<b>General Fund</b>	-	-	8,791	8,379	7,799	
<b>Total</b>	-	-	<b>8,791</b>	<b>8,379</b>	<b>7,799</b>	
<b>Biennial Total</b>			<b>8,791</b>			<b>16,178</b>

Full Time Equivalent Positions (FTE)	Biennium			Biennium	
	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
<b>Legislature</b>	-	-	-	-	-
<b>General Fund</b>	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Public Safety Dept</b>					
<b>General Fund</b>	-	-	14	14	14
<b>Total</b>	-	-	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>

**Lead LBO Analyst's Comment**

I have reviewed this fiscal note for reasonableness of content and consistency with the LBO's Uniform Standards and Procedures.

The Department of Public Safety revised this fiscal note to make technical corrections and updated the State Trooper training costs for FY28 which did impact the overall Cost (Savings) table of the fiscal note.

**LBO Signature:** Laura Cecko    **Date:** 4/23/2026 8:15:41 AM  
**Phone:** 651-284-6543    **Email:** laura.cecko@lbo.mn.gov

# Revised

## State Cost (Savings) Calculation Details

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative. Reductions are shown in parentheses.

\*Transfers In/Out and Absorbed Costs are only displayed when reported.

<b>State Cost (Savings) = 1-2</b>		<b>Biennium</b>			<b>Biennium</b>	
<b>Dollars in Thousands</b>		<b>FY2025</b>	<b>FY2026</b>	<b>FY2027</b>	<b>FY2028</b>	<b>FY2029</b>
Legislature		-	-	-	-	-
General Fund		-	-	-	-	-
Public Safety Dept						
General Fund		-	-	8,791	8,379	7,799
	<b>Total</b>	-	-	<b>8,791</b>	<b>8,379</b>	<b>7,799</b>
	<b>Biennial Total</b>			<b>8,791</b>		<b>16,178</b>
<b>1 - Expenditures, Absorbed Costs*, Transfers Out*</b>						
Legislature		-	-	-	-	-
General Fund						
Expenditures		-	-	15	-	-
Absorbed Costs		-	-	(15)	-	-
Public Safety Dept						
General Fund		-	-	8,791	8,379	7,799
	<b>Total</b>	-	-	<b>8,791</b>	<b>8,379</b>	<b>7,799</b>
	<b>Biennial Total</b>			<b>8,791</b>		<b>16,178</b>
<b>2 - Revenues, Transfers In*</b>						
Legislature		-	-	-	-	-
General Fund		-	-	-	-	-
Public Safety Dept						
General Fund		-	-	-	-	-
	<b>Total</b>	-	-	-	-	-
	<b>Biennial Total</b>			-		-

# Revised

## Fiscal Note

2025-2026 Legislative Session

### HF3230 - 2A - Various Capitol Complex Security Provisions Mod.

Chief Author: **Julie Greene**  
 Committee: **Transportation Finance and Policy**  
 Date Completed: **4/23/2026 8:15:41 AM**  
 Agency: **Public Safety Dept**

State Fiscal Impact	Yes	No
Expenditures	X	
Fee/Departmental Earnings		X
Tax Revenue		X
Information Technology		X
Local Fiscal Impact	X	

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative. Reductions shown in the parentheses.

State Cost (Savings) Dollars in Thousands	Biennium			Biennium	
	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
General Fund	-	-	8,791	8,379	7,799
<b>Total</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>8,791</b>	<b>8,379</b>	<b>7,799</b>
<b>Biennial Total</b>			<b>8,791</b>		<b>16,178</b>

Full Time Equivalent Positions (FTE)	Biennium			Biennium	
	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
General Fund	-	-	14	14	14
<b>Total</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>

#### LBO Analyst's Comment

I have reviewed this fiscal note for reasonableness of content and consistency with the LBO's Uniform Standards and Procedures.

The Department of Public Safety revised this fiscal note to make technical corrections and updated the State Trooper training costs for FY28 which did impact the overall Cost (Savings) table of the fiscal note.

**LBO Signature:** Laura Cecko    **Date:** 4/23/2026 8:15:28 AM  
**Phone:** 651-284-6543    **Email:** laura.cecko@lbo.mn.gov

# Revised

## State Cost (Savings) Calculation Details

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative. Reductions are shown in parentheses.

\*Transfers In/Out and Absorbed Costs are only displayed when reported.

<b>State Cost (Savings) = 1-2</b>		Biennium			Biennium	
Dollars in Thousands	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029	
General Fund	-	-	8,791	8,379	7,799	
<b>Total</b>	-	-	<b>8,791</b>	<b>8,379</b>	<b>7,799</b>	
<b>Biennial Total</b>			<b>8,791</b>		<b>16,178</b>	
<b>1 - Expenditures, Absorbed Costs*, Transfers Out*</b>						
General Fund	-	-	8,791	8,379	7,799	
<b>Total</b>	-	-	<b>8,791</b>	<b>8,379</b>	<b>7,799</b>	
<b>Biennial Total</b>			<b>8,791</b>		<b>16,178</b>	
<b>2 - Revenues, Transfers In*</b>						
General Fund	-	-	-	-	-	
<b>Total</b>	-	-	-	-	-	
<b>Biennial Total</b>			-		-	

## Bill Description

Article 1 of this bill provides specific funding appropriations for various entities that revolve around safety and security measures along with adjusting the base for Capitol Security in future fiscal years.

Article 1, Sec. 2 amends statute language within Minn. Stat. 299D.03, Subd. 1 to allow legislative leadership to request personal security and protection of a legislator for a limited period of time. This request would be made to the Commissioner of Public Safety and would require the State Patrol to provide these services within the limits of existing resources.

Several new subdivisions and provisions would be implemented within Minn. Stat. 299E relating annual Capitol Security reporting requirements, the establishment and expansion of responsibilities for a legislative services unit, authorization to reimburse local law enforcement for security assistance, along with the creation of a security services task force.

Minn. Stat. 299E.005 would add definitions but does not include a definition for a 'credible threat' but the term is referenced often throughout the bill proposal. Within Minn. Stat. 299E.01, Subd. 2 outlines the responsibilities of Capitol Security and the Legislative Services Unit. This subdivision (sub-section c) also requires sworn personnel (Troopers) assigned to the capitol complex to have current training applicable to their specific environment. Sub-section (f) would identify Capitol Security as the lead agency for the investigation of criminal offenses within the capitol complex area and provide for certain avenues to transfer responsibility of any investigation.

Minn. Stat. 299E.015, would require a public and non-public annual report to be compiled starting in calendar year 2028 and submitted to various legislative leaders that provides an overview of activities associated with Capitol Security and the legislative services unit, summary data revolving around threat investigations and protection requests, adjustments made and recommendations for any future security changes, along with any relevant efficiency improvements or cost savings measures.

Minn. Stat. 299E.10, Subd. 1 would establish a Protective Services Unit within Capitol Security and Subd. 2 outlines the staffing requirements for the unit. Subd. 3 defines several specific duties of Protective Services Unit, to include:

- Coordinate security services provided to principal state officials to include liaison with the sergeants-at-arms, the Minnesota Fusion Center, and local law enforcement

# Revised

- This section would also include the centralization of communication and coordination
- Develop and maintain a plan on security services provided to principal state officials
- Develop procedures for communications related to or conducted with principal officials
- Develop protocols or procedures to identify, collate, assess, and respond to a credible threat to life or safety of a principal state official
- Provide input on plans by political subdivisions and local law enforcement agencies for security services
- Provide security orientation and training for newly elected or appointed principal state officials prior to or within 21 days of taking the oath of office
- Perform other activities related to this section after consultation with the commissioner and the governor

Minn. Stat. 299E, Subd. 4 authorizes the commissioner to enter into agreements with local law enforcement agencies for assistance with security and protective services and provides a process for reimbursement to those departments, if needed.

Section 9 would establish a Protective Services Task Force and outlines the makeup of the membership, the cadence of meetings, and their duties which would culminate with a report submission to several legislative entities by February 1, 2027.

An additional section (Section 10) will also be implemented that requires an additional report to be submitted to various legislative leaders which provides a status update on the implementation of the legislative services unit, summarizes their activities, includes the required reports outlined within its duties, identify actions taken as a result of the task force and explain expenditures for the personal protective services.

The implementation timelines of the Legislative Services Unit and the establishment of the reimbursement program are also outlined in this section along with the requirement by the commissioner to provide status updates.

## **Assumptions**

It is assumed that without qualifying or clarifying language within Minn. Stat. 299D.03 Subd 1 (d), requiring personal security for a legislator that individual protective services could be required 24 hours a day, 7 days a week for members when they are outside of the capitol complex area, even at their personal homes due to widespread or high-profile credible threats. Without clarity on how these security measures would come to an end once they are put in place or the timelines associated with credible threats, even with agreements with local law enforcement to assist, the number of FTE's and costs are incalculable at this point.

According to this provision, the commissioner may provide security and protection for a legislator as they deem necessary upon request of the speaker of the house or the majority leader of the senate. This could include protective services for one or more specified members or members-elect of the legislature and it is assumed that the commissioner would be responsible for determining the credible threat outcome.

Looking at the number of credible threats that have been investigated by the Minnesota State Patrol and Bureau of Criminal Apprehension so far in calendar year 2026, there have been 61 in the first three months (January through March) which have had various criminal determinations and outcomes. With that context, it can be assumed that approximately 244 identifiable credible threats could require some form of personal protective security for an individual principal state official.

- 61 possible credible threats in the first quarter of 2026 X 4 Quarters = 244 estimated total per year

Due to the unpredictability on the timing of credible threats and therefore, the short notice nature of these details and ongoing regular security operations, these personal protective details would likely be filled with Troopers on an overtime

# Revised

status or by local law enforcement as outlined in this proposal. Since it has not been clarified how long a protective detail will last or who will determine the limited period timelines on when it would end within this bill proposal, a seven-day security event has been utilized to estimate costs. In general, it is assumed that a single threat on a single legislator that is deemed credible would require the following:

- One (1) Trooper or local law enforcement officer, eight (8) hours per shift (plus one hour drive time), three (3) shifts per day to provide personal protective services to a single legislator at their residence and during their travels or other functions. This would equate to three (3) Troopers assigned per day for each principal state official.
- 3 Troopers per day, 9 hours per Trooper, 7 days of security coverage at the overtime rate (with fringe) of \$110.33 = \$20,852 per week. For 244 separate personal protective security details within a year that each have a 7-day duration = \$5,087,888
- These total costs may be shared with local law enforcement based on agreements and reimbursement procedures outlined in this bill but will come from state funding sources.
- This represents a conservative estimate for the length of time for a personal protective detail; actual protective details may extend beyond seven days.

Consideration must be given to the prolific increase in threats and investigations into the future based on current trends within the past calendar years:

- 2024 18 total threat investigations
- 2025 99 total threat investigations
- 2026 - 61 total threat investigations to date (January March) which would equate to approximately 244 this current calendar year

It can be assumed that not all credible threats which are investigated would be approved or required to have protective services implemented. However, due to the unknown durations and possibility of several legislative security details being implemented from a single threat investigation, a more accurate estimate of costs is difficult to determine.

With the establishment of the Legislative Services Unit along with the staffing and duties outlined in 299E.10, it is assumed that the Minnesota State Patrol would implement a full-time complement of four (4) additional sworn trooper positions to perform the duties outlined in Subdivision 3, coordinate local law enforcement assistance and reimbursement, and complete the required reporting mechanisms. MSP sworn troopers would not be responsible for covering security shifts.

Furthermore, with the assumption that personal protective services may be required in locations throughout the state at unpredictable times to address the possibility of off-site committee hearings, committee hearing, or press conference for unknown lengths based on credible threat determinations, the agency would provide specialty personal security training approximately 30 Troopers from various patrol districts. While these identified Troopers would not be assigned directly to the Legislative Services Unit on a full-time basis, they would be strategically put in place to efficiently respond to locations across the state as needed with professional knowledge of the role. It is also assumed due to retirements and other forms of reduction in this number of specialty troopers across the state that ongoing training would occur as new personnel are brought on board.

Due to the increased personnel, security locations, and other responsibilities outlined in this proposal, it is assumed that complementary support and supervisory staff would also need to be put in place for successful implementation. This would include:

- Due to the reporting requirements outlined in Minn. Stat. 299E.01, along with the need to support additional security personnel, one (1) additional administrative support staff would be needed.
- To assist with more timely and efficient credible threat determinations and investigative outcomes, two (2) additional non-sworn, criminal analysts and one (1) additional sworn Threat Investigator would be required.
- With additional sworn and non-sworn personnel, one (1) supervisor (MSP Lieutenant) would be needed to ensure

# Revised

oversight and accountability.

To accommodate increased staff, it is assumed that there would be need for more space within the Capitol Complex area to house offices, briefing rooms, and dispatch areas.

The training requirement for sworn personnel assigned to the Capitol Complex (Minn. Stat. 299E.01, Subd. 2) would be accomplished through a separate specialized centralized in-service training session focusing on specific tasks and responsibilities associated with the unique working environment. This training for capitol complex personnel would be in addition to the traditional in-service sessions required by POST. Furthermore, specialized training, applicable conferences, and on-site reviews of best-practices would be sought out nationally with attendees from this workgroup.

Due to the timing of the next MSP Training Academy scheduled for January 2027 and the ongoing hiring and recruitment of Troopers for both the highway and Capitol Security, MSP would not be able to hire new Troopers until late FY27 at the earliest. Full-time sworn personnel could not be permanently shifted to these security assignments until then as the agency continues to also balance statewide coverage to address our traffic safety mission. MSP assumes that a mix of overtime (OT) and new FTEs will be used in FY27 and FY28. For cost estimate purposes, it is assumed that FY27 will be a mix of OT and 3 new sworn personnel and FY28 will be a mix of OT and an additional 3 new sworn personnel. Cost estimates reflect the salary and fringe for a Trooper plus 10% to account for OT pay rate differential.

The inclusion of the Protective Services Task Force in this bill would require representation on the committee by members of the Minnesota State Patrol; however, this would not incur any fiscal costs and would be incorporated within current operational duties.

The BCA assumes that Capitol Security and the Legislative Services unit will coordinate with the BCA's Behavioral Threat Assessment and Management (BTAM) section to conduct required threat assessments, assist with developing individualized plans for personal protective services, and investigate any credible threats.

## **Threat Assessments:**

Individual threat assessments for principal state officials are complex investigations that evaluate physical security posture, in-person interviews, facility/premise walk-throughs, social media evaluation, national/international landscape and evaluation of threats, along with an individual lifestyle evaluation. BCA estimates that the average individual threat assessment takes approximately 84 hours.

Assuming a limited number of 30 threat assessments are completed each year based on defined criteria and more immediate needs identified, the total number of hours to conduct these threat assessments would be at least 2,520. This would require two Criminal Intelligence Analyst 2 positions (including time for training and other ancillary duties such as related meetings and other administrative tasks).

If the intention of the bill is that a threat assessment is completed regularly on all principal state officials, then the number of hours and FTEs would be significantly increased.

## **Threat Cases and Investigations:**

Individual threat tips/cases are continually on the rise for the BCA's BTAM section. In 2025, BTAM received 112 threat tips/cases that were reviewed and investigated. In January and February of 2026, there were 49 tips/cases that were referred to the BTAM section by the Minnesota State Patrol. On average, 50% of these tips require full behavioral threat assessments and intervention averaging 8-16 hours each. Of those cases, a limited number require longer-term investigation averaging 40-80 hours each.

For 2026, BCA estimates approximately 300 tips/cases. Of those 300, BCA assumes 150 will require 16 hours of investigation and 23 will require 80 hours of investigation for a total of 4,240 hours. This would require 3 Special Agent

# Revised

positions (including time for training which is typically 100-120 hours per year, 200 hours per year in vacation and sick time and another 200 hours per year for other ancillary duties such as related meetings and other administrative tasks) for a total of 5,800 hours. The three FTEs are needed to account for a possible influx of tips at one time and possible extraordinary politically-motivated investigations such as we saw this past year.

## Commissioner's Office

Task Force members must be appointed by their appointment authority by August 15, 2026, and the final report is due to the legislature by February 1, 2027. With this very short timeline and significant assigned duties, DPS will engage a consultant to convene and facilitate meetings, ensuring compliance with the Open Meeting Law, and to draft the final report on behalf of DPS and the Task Force. DPS assumes the contracting work to hire the consultant will be absorbed into existing staff duties.

DPS assumes that the task force will prioritize meeting virtually or in no-cost meeting areas. DPS assumes the possibility that low-cost meeting spaces might be needed for some meetings that can provide free parking for task force members. DPS assumes the task force will meet at least monthly for the first three months, then increase to bi-weekly for the last three months before the final report is due.

Due to the truncated nature of the Task Force and that they need to complete all their work and submit the final report in just five and half months, there will be a need for multiple consultants to ensure the work can be completed. Based on other Task Force work that has been assigned to DPS in the past with this timeline, DPS expects the cost of the consultant contract to be \$100,000 in FY27 (\$200/hour x 500 hours preparing for, facilitating meetings, and compiling and writing the final report = \$100,000)

## Expenditure and/or Revenue Formula

Description	Individual Annual Cost	Qty	FY27	FY28	FY29
Administrative Support Staff with Fringe \$47.86 X 2088 = \$99,932	\$99,932	1	\$99,932	\$99,932	\$99,932
Criminal Analyst with Fringe \$66.53 X 2088 = \$138,920 Equipment Costs = \$19,300	\$158,220	2	\$316,440	\$316,440	\$316,440
State Trooper with Fringe 4 Protective Unit Troopers 1 State Patrol Investigator \$92.59 X 2088 = \$193,328*10%	\$212,661 FY27/FY28; \$193,328 FY29	5	\$1,063,325	\$1,063,325	\$966,640
State Patrol Supervisor with Fringe \$104.30 X 2088 = \$217,778	\$217,778	1	\$217,778	\$217,778	\$217,778
Personal Protective Security Training 30 Troopers, 4 Protective Unit Troopers 6 annually after first year, ongoing	\$2,500	34	\$85,000	\$15,000	\$15,000

# Revised

Additional Leased Space - ongoing 3000 sq ft in Admin X \$27.55 = \$82,650	\$82,650	1	\$82,650	\$82,650	\$82,650
Recruit/Equip/Train State Trooper 3 in FY2027, 2 in FY2028	\$242,000	5	\$726,000	\$484,000	\$0
FTEs (5 Sworn, 3 Non-Sworn)		9			
<b>MSP Total (General Fund)</b>			\$2,591,125	\$2,279,125	\$1,698,440
<b>Security Reimbursements Appropriation (GF)*</b>			\$5,087,888	\$5,087,888	\$5,087,888
Criminal Intelligence Analyst 2 Salary and fringe = \$138,920 Equipment costs = \$19,300	\$158,220	2	\$316,440	\$316,440	\$316,440
Special Agent Salary and fringe = \$194,165 Equipment costs = \$37,800	\$231,965	3	\$695,895	\$695,895	\$695,895
<b>BCA Total (General Fund)</b>			\$1,012,335	\$1,012,335	\$1,012,335
<b>Task Force Consultant (GF)</b>			<b>\$100,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>Total General Fund Expenditures</b>		<b>14</b>	<b>\$8,791,348</b>	<b>\$8,379,348</b>	<b>\$7,798,663</b>

\* TECHNICAL NOTE #1 Security Reimbursements Appropriation: The bill indicates this appropriation is only available until 6/30/28. This appropriation may need to be ongoing cost or as an open appropriation depending on task force recommendations in order to reimburse costs associated directly with the State Patrol and local law enforcement reimbursements related to personal protective services. The estimated amount needed within the reimbursement fund appropriation as outlined in Minn. Stat. 299E.10, Subd. 4 for local law enforcement agreements is estimated off State Trooper salary and may vary depending on the local jurisdiction coordinating with MSP if needed to supplement the security support. This cost may fluctuate year to year depending on ongoing trends in credible threats. If reimbursements are not fully funded, this may cause a fiscal impact for MSP and local law enforcement.

\*\*TECHNICAL NOTE #2 DPS assumes the appropriations in subdivision 5 is appropriated directly to the State Patrol.

**Long-Term Fiscal Considerations**

**Local Fiscal Impact**

While local law enforcement may assist with personal protective security services across the state when needed, this bill would establish a process of reimbursement and if not fully funded, it could have a fiscal impact on local law enforcement

# Revised

agencies.

## **References/Sources**

**Agency Contact:** Col Christina Bogojevic

**Agency Fiscal Note Coordinator Signature:** Nicole Mickelson

**Phone:** 651-201-7045

**Date:** 4/23/2026 8:07:05 AM

**Email:** nicole.mickelson@state.mn.us

# Revised

Fiscal Note

2025-2026 Legislative Session

**HF3230 - 2A - Various Capitol Complex Security Provisions Mod.**

Chief Author: **Julie Greene**  
 Committee: **Transportation Finance and Policy**  
 Date Completed: **4/23/2026 8:15:41 AM**  
 Agency: **Legislature**

State Fiscal Impact	Yes	No
Expenditures	X	
Fee/Departmental Earnings		X
Tax Revenue		X
Information Technology		X
Local Fiscal Impact		X

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative. Reductions shown in the parentheses.

State Cost (Savings)	Biennium			Biennium		
	Dollars in Thousands	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
General Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Biennial Total</b>			-			-

Full Time Equivalent Positions (FTE)	Biennium			Biennium	
	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
General Fund	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>	-	-	-	-	-

**LBO Analyst's Comment**

I have reviewed this fiscal note for reasonableness of content and consistency with the LBO's Uniform Standards and Procedures.

**LBO Signature:** Chloe Burns      **Date:** 4/20/2026 2:07:38 PM  
**Phone:** 651-297-1423      **Email:** chloe.burns@lbo.mn.gov

# Revised

## State Cost (Savings) Calculation Details

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative. Reductions are shown in parentheses.

\*Transfers In/Out and Absorbed Costs are only displayed when reported.

<b>State Cost (Savings) = 1-2</b>		Biennium			Biennium	
Dollars in Thousands	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029	
General Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Biennial Total</b>			-			-
<b>1 - Expenditures, Absorbed Costs*, Transfers Out*</b>						
General Fund						
Expenditures	-	-	15	-	-	-
Absorbed Costs	-	-	(15)	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Biennial Total</b>			-			-
<b>2 - Revenues, Transfers In*</b>						
General Fund						
<b>Total</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Biennial Total</b>			-			-

## Bill Description

The bill, in part, establishes a Security Services Task Force. The 12-member task force will include two senators, two representatives, the senate sergeant-at-arms or a designee, and the house sergeant-at-arms or a designee. Appointments are due by August 15, 2026, and the task force must be convened by September 15, 2026. The task force will develop a report about the provision of security services for legislators and the implementation of a legislative services unit. The report is due February 1, 2027. The task force expires on June 30, 2027. The Department of Public Safety (DPS) must provide administrative support to the task force.

## Assumptions

1. The task force will meet monthly from September 15, 2026, to November 15, 2026, and then bi-weekly until February 1, 2027, for a total of nine meetings.
2. All meetings will be held within the Capitol complex with hybrid capacity. If the meeting locations were to be changed, additional cost would be incurred.
3. Any cost of non-legislative member participation in the commission will be addressed by DPS's fiscal note and according to Minn. Stat. § 15.0575.
4. Legislative members are eligible for per diem and reimbursement of expenses from the Senate or from the House. It is assumed for this fiscal note that legislative member meeting participation cost may be absorbed by the Senate or the House, although that will depend on the priorities of the legislature.
5. Legislative member meeting participation costs include the following expenses per member, per legislative interim meeting: \$110 round trip mileage (152 round trip mile average). Half of the legislative members will be reimbursed for lodging expenses (\$200/night senators, \$175/night representatives).
6. The DPS is to provide administrative support to the task force. Any associated cost will be addressed by DPS's fiscal note.
7. The House sergeant-at-arms or a designee will be a member of the task force. For this fiscal note, we projected 30 hours in FY27 (.01 FTE) each although the actual amount of time that will be needed may vary. It is assumed for this fiscal note that existing House staff will be utilized, and costs may be absorbed, although that will depend on the priorities of the legislature and staff time required for competing projects.
8. The Senate sergeant-at-arms or a designee will be a member of the task force. For this fiscal note, we projected 30

# Revised

hours in FY27 (.01 FTE) each although the actual amount of time that will be needed may vary. It is assumed for this fiscal note that existing Senate staff will be utilized, and costs may be absorbed, although that will depend on the priorities of the legislature and staff time required for competing projects.

9. Senate Counsel, Research and Fiscal Analysis will follow the work of the task force. For this fiscal note, we projected 30 hours in FY27 (.01 FTE) although the actual amount of time that will be needed may vary. It is assumed for this fiscal note that existing Senate staff will be utilized, and costs may be absorbed, although that will depend on the priorities of the legislature and staff time required for competing projects.
10. House Research Department and House Fiscal Analysis Department will follow the work of the task force. For this fiscal note, we project 30 hours in FY27 (.01 FTE) although the actual amount of time that will be needed may vary. It is assumed that for this fiscal note that existing House staff will be utilized, and costs may be absorbed, although that will depend on the priorities of the legislature and staff time required for competing projects.

## Expenditure and/or Revenue Formula

<b><u>Member Meeting Participation Cost</u></b>				
Cost Category	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY29
Senate Task Force Member Mtg Participation Cost	0	4,147	0	0
House Task Force Member Mtg Participation Cost	0	3,797	0	0
<b>Total Task Force Member Mtg Participation Cost (rounded)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Senate Absorbed Meeting Cost	0	(4,147)	0	0
House Absorbed Meeting Cost	0	(3,797)	0	0
<b>Total Absorbed Meeting Cost</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>(8,000)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Net Total Task Force Member Mtg Participation Cost (rounded)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b><u>Staffing Cost</u></b>				
Cost Category	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY29
SCRFA staff support - Counsel & Analyst II, Existing Staff (.01 FTE FY27, cost absorbed by Senate)	0	1,665	0	0
HRD & HFA staff support - Counsel & Analyst II, Existing Staff (.01 FTE FY27, cost absorbed by the House)	0	1,661	0	0
Senate sergeant-at-arms or designee - Sergeant-at-arms, Existing Staff (.01 FTE FY27, cost absorbed by Senate)	0	1,566	0	0
House sergeant-at-arms or designee - Sergeant-at-arms, Existing Staff (.01 FTE FY27, cost absorbed by House)	0	1,619	0	0
<b>Total Staff Cost (rounded)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Senate Staff Cost Absorbed	0	(3,231)	0	0
House Staff Cost Absorbed	0	(3,281)	0	0

# Revised

Total Staff Cost Absorbed (Existing Legislative Staff) (rounded)	0	(7,000)	0	0
Net Staff Cost (New Hires) (rounded)	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Cost</b>				
Cost Category	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY29
Total Task Force Member Mtg Participation Cost (rounded)	0	8,000	0	0
Total Staff Cost (rounded)	0	7,000	0	0
<b>Total Cost (rounded)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Total Cost Absorbed (rounded)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>(15,000)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Net Total Cost (rounded)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

**Long-Term Fiscal Considerations**

N/A

**Local Fiscal Impact**

N/A

**References/Sources**

Nicole Mickelson, Minnesota Department of Public Safety

Emily Adriaens, House of Representatives

Matt Gehring, House of Representatives

Pete Skwira, House of Representatives

Eric Nauman, Minnesota Senate

Alexis Stangl, Minnesota Senate

Sven Lindquist, Minnesota Senate

Betty Myers, Minnesota Senate

Michelle Yurich, Legislative Coordinating Commission

**Agency Contact:**

**Agency Fiscal Note Coordinator Signature:** Diane Henry

**Date:** 4/16/2026 12:21:41 PM

**Phone:** 651-296-1121

**Email:** diane.henry@lcc.mn.gov

Revised

# Revised

Fiscal Note

2025-2026 Legislative Session

**HF3230 - 2A - Various Capitol Complex Security Provisions Mod.**

Chief Author: **Julie Greene**  
 Committee: **Transportation Finance and Policy**  
 Date Completed: **4/23/2026 8:15:41 AM**  
 Agency: **Secretary of State**

State Fiscal Impact	Yes	No
Expenditures	X	
Fee/Departmental Earnings		X
Tax Revenue		X
Information Technology		X
Local Fiscal Impact		X

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative. Reductions shown in the parentheses.

State Cost (Savings)	Biennium			Biennium		
	Dollars in Thousands	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
<b>Total</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Biennial Total</b>			-			-

Full Time Equivalent Positions (FTE)	Biennium			Biennium		
	Dollars in Thousands	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
<b>Total</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-

**LBO Analyst's Comment**

I have reviewed this fiscal note for reasonableness of content and consistency with the LBO's Uniform Standards and Procedures.

**LBO Signature:** Adam Bolling    **Date:** 4/21/2026 10:04:29 AM  
**Phone:** 651-296-6053    **Email:** adam.bolling@lbo.mn.gov

# Revised

## State Cost (Savings) Calculation Details

This table shows direct impact to state government only. Local government impact, if any, is discussed in the narrative. Reductions are shown in parentheses.

\*Transfers In/Out and Absorbed Costs are only displayed when reported.

State Cost (Savings) = 1-2 Dollars in Thousands	Biennium			Biennium	
	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029
<b>Total</b>	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Biennial Total</b>			-		-
<b>1 - Expenditures, Absorbed Costs*, Transfers Out*</b>					
<b>Total</b>	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Biennial Total</b>			-		-
<b>2 - Revenues, Transfers In*</b>					
<b>Total</b>	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Biennial Total</b>			-		-

## Bill Description

This fiscal note is for the A2 amendment to HF3230. The amendment establishes a legislative services unit within Capitol Security to coordinate security services provided to members of the legislature, develop and maintain security plans and protocols, and perform other security-related duties. Additionally, the A2 amendment establishes a Security Services Task Force to advise and provide recommendations.

Lines 8.26 through 9.14 of the A2 amendment describe the appointment of the task force's members. Lines 9.22 through 10.16 describe the task force's duties. Lines 10.17 through 10.22 describe the administration of the task force.

## Assumptions

Minnesota Statutes sections 15.0597 and 15.0599 require the secretary of state to publish notices of vacancies, collect applications assist with appointments, and prepare reports on multimember agencies (including state boards, commissions, councils, committees, authorities, and task forces). As part of this process, the Office of the Secretary of State consults with all multimember agencies on their reporting and appointment requirements.

The Office of the Secretary of State assumes that whenever a new multimember agency is created, work is required by public records staff to establish the agency on the secretary's website and to train the agency staff on how to appoint members to their agency and make other changes and requests. There is also some staff time spent consulting periodically with multimember agencies throughout the year.

## Expenditure and/or Revenue Formula

### Board Set-up and Consultation

8 hours of OSS Public Records staff time per new board at an average rate of salary and benefits of \$46.01/hour.

$$\$46.01 \times 8 = \$368.08$$

**\$368.08 (FY27)**

The Secretary of State is able to absorb the costs identified on a one-off basis, but as more multimember agencies are created each year by the legislature, the Office's capacity to assist all entities in a timely manner will diminish and additional funding may be required. These activities are not anticipated to displace any other work of the office.

## Long-Term Fiscal Considerations

# Revised

None.

## **Local Fiscal Impact**

N/A

## **References/Sources**

Lauren Bethke, General Counsel

lauren.bethke@state.mn.us, 651-201-1326

I have reviewed the content of this fiscal note and believe it is a reasonable estimate of the expenditures and revenues associated with this proposed legislation.

If Information Technology costs are included, my agency's Chief Information Officer has reviewed the estimate.

### **Agency Contact:**

**Agency Fiscal Note Coordinator Signature:** Adam Hansen

**Date:** 4/21/2026 9:53:24 AM

**Phone:** 651-201-6870

**Email:** adam.hansen@state.mn.us